similar results in the hydrogenation of cholest-4-en-3-ols and related compounds, but they gave no account on the course of the hydrogenation.

Since it has been found that neither  $5\alpha$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ ,  $6\beta$ -diol nor  $5\beta$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ ,  $6\beta$ -diol can be hydrogenolyzed with platinum oxide and hydrochloric acid, it can be assumed that the cholestenediol (I) underwent for the most part the hydrogenolysis before the saturation of the double bond, giving  $5\alpha$ -cholestane as the main product as illustrated below:

The above assumption is further supported by the fact that the product obtained by an incomplete hydrogenation of the cholestenediol (I) gave coloration with tetranitromethane in chloroform, while the cholestenediol (I) is entirely indifferent to the reagent.

The formation of  $5\beta$ -cholestane from  $3\alpha$ -acetoxycholest-4-ene<sup>3)</sup> can not be explained in a similar way, because, if cholest-4-ene were an intermediate product, then  $5\alpha$ -cholestane should be the main product as in the hydrogenation of the cholestenediol (I).

Similar results have been obtained in the hydrogenation of other 2,3-unsaturated alcohols and phenol, as summarized in Table I.

In the presence of platinum oxide and hydrochloric acid the substances absorbed more hydrogen than is necessary to transform them into the corresponding saturated alcohols. Since none of 3-phenyl-1-propanol, tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol and

Hydrogenolysis and Hydrogenation of Some

2, 3-Unsaturated Alcohols with Platinum

Oxide and with Platinized Raney Nickel

By Shigeo Nishimura and Kazuko Mori

(Received December 10, 1958)

The hydrogenation of cholest-4-ene-

 $3\beta$ ,  $6\beta$ -diol (I) in neutral ethanolic solution

with platinum oxide as a catalyst gives

 $5\beta$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ , $6\beta$ -diol (II)<sup>1)</sup>. The present

authors carried out the same reaction in

the presence of a trace of hydrochloric

acid, when a little less than three moles

of hydrogen were absorbed and  $5\alpha$ -choles-

tane (III) was obtained as the main pro-

duct2) (Table I). Shoppee et al.3) obtained

<sup>1)</sup> V. Prelog and E. Tagmann, Helv. Chim. Acta, 27, 1880 (1944)

<sup>1880 (1944).

2)</sup> In ether-acetic acid solution, two moles of hydrogen are absorbed by the diacetate of the diol, and  $5\omega$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ - $6\beta$ -diol,  $5\omega$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ -ol and  $5\omega$ -cholestane are obtained: O. Rosenheim and W. W. Starling, J. Chem. Soc., 1937, 383.

C. W. Shoppee, B. D. Agashe and G. H. R. Summers, ibid., 1957, 3107.

TABLE I
MOLES OF HYDROGEN ABSORBED IN 95%
ETHANOL AT THE ORDINARY TEMPERATURE
AND PRESSURE

Compound	Catalyst		
	$PtO_2$	PtO <sub>2</sub> +HC1	Platinized Raney Ni <sup>5)</sup>
Cholest-4-ene- $3\beta$ , $6\beta$ -diol	1.0	2.7	1.1
Cinnamyl alcohol	1.0	1.5	0.98
Furfuryl alcohol4)		3.1	2.1
Phenol		3.4	3.0

cyclohexanol could be hydrogenolyzed under the same conditions, it is concluded that the hydrogenolysis took place prior to the saturation of the double bond. On the other hand, in neutral ethanolic solution cinnamyl alcohol absorbed only 1 mole of hydrogen in the presence of either platinum oxide or platinized Raney nickel prepared in alkaline solution<sup>5)</sup>, while furfuryl alcohol gave a quantitative yield of tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol with the latter catalyst.

It has been reported that cinnamyl alcohol gives about equal moles of 3-phenyl-1-propanol and propylbenzene with palladium-charcoal and hydrogen<sup>6</sup>. It is very probable that the catalyst contained a trace of hydrochloric acid.

The authors wish to express their hearty thanks to Professor Yoshiyuki Urushibara for his kind guidance.

> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo Hongo, Tokyo

<sup>4)</sup> Furfuryl alcohol absorbs about 2.5 moles of hydrogen in the hydrogenation with platinum oxide either in the presence or in the absence of ferrous chloride or sulfate giving tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol along with other products: W. E. Kaufmann and R. Adams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 45, 3029 (1923); J. S. Pierce and C. Parks, ibid., 51, 3384 (1929).

<sup>5)</sup> S. Nishimura, This Bulletin 32, 61, (1959).

<sup>6)</sup> R. Baltzly and J. S. Buck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **65**, 1984 (1943). Cf. W. H. Hartung and R. Simonoff, "Org. Reactions", Vol. VII, p. 267, John Wiley & Sons (1953).